**DISCUSSION OUTLINE**

**EVERTHING IN THE OUTLINE BELOW IS EXPECTED IN YOUR TEAM DISCUSSION RESULTS**

1. **INTROPARAGRAPH(copy/paste,fillintheblanks,thendeleteunderlines)** This paper will examine the case of NAME OF ORGANIZATION & or INDIVIDUALS and NATURE OF INCIDENT OR ACTION OR NEGLECT. It will be shown that this INCIDENT OR ACTION OR NEGLECT violates [or demonstrates] the ethics of STATE THE ETHICAL PRINCIPLE OR THEORY YOU WILL ARGUE FOR . First, details of the case will be described. Then a precise definition of the ethical theory will be given. Next it will be shown how the details of this case relate to the ethical theory being discussed. Finally, suggestions will be made for future guidelines such that this ethical principle may be applied in this case or in similar cases.

2. **CASEDETAILS**

* Chronology of events of case
* Principal people involved
* Those most responsible
* Results
* Those affected by results

3. **ARGUMENT**Connect terms of ethics definition to details of case events and/or results **Use ARGUMENT OUTLINE for theory you have chosen.**

**THE ARGUMENT SECTION**

The ARGUMENT is the work. 2 to 3 people could be assigned to the ARGUMENT. A good case analysis is one that can convince others. But if you never see the other side, if you only see your side of the issue, you will never be convincing, you will just sound belligerent. That is the whole point to this class. To get you to have a strong ethical voice when you might need it. A voice that can make people listen. But nobody listens when you show only one side. They think you do not understand their side, so they shut you out. The more you show that you have weighed all the sides, the more convincing you will be. **Some of the theories have both sides built into the framing of the argument. For example, Rights Theory will discuss rights of all parties involved, never merely rights violations committed by one party against another. Utilitarianism Theory will discuss benefit and harm to all parties, this means all sides are thus examined.** Justice Theory, however, does not do this and so for **Justice Theory you must present an argument and a counterargument**.

4. **POLICIES/PROCEDURES**

* How could events have been avoided?
* Future conduct/guidelines for any company/organization

**5. NEW TECHNOLOGY THAT WOULD FIX THE PROBLEM(TECHFIX)**

* **Do not summarize the case or theory**